

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/915,260	07/27/2001	Ta-Ching Pong	BEU/PONG3003	6814
23364 7:	590 03/29/2004		EXAM	INER
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			CUEVAS, PEDRO J	
625 SLATERS FOURTH FLO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2834	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>(</i> / <i>/</i>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/915,260	PONG, TA-CHING				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pedro J. Cuevas	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a repl within the statutory minimum of thirty (vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. RS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ja	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 January 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
***	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E x	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 802)	A) [] [_1_1	mmon/ /PTO 413\				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-1				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/915,260 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed on January 20, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. In response to applicant's argument that "the slots between the teeth in the rotor of Dauwalter assist in positioning of the motor, but are not used to detect the position of the motor", a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 3-4, 7-8, and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claims 3, 7, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The

Application/Control Number: 09/915,260 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the physical connection between the rotor of the induction motor and a wheel of a vehicle.

6. Claims 4, 8, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: the fixed part or piece of the wheel, which in combination with the rotor will effectively produce stopping force.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-2, 5-6, 9-10 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,028,573 to Terrone in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,959,382 to Dauwalter, further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,868,443 to Rossi.

Terrone teaches the construction of a rotor for an electrical machine comprising a disc-shaped flat metal rotor (1) including two axially opposite principal surfaces (5, 6) and a plurality of slots (7-13) that extend completely into at least one of the peripheral surfaces and that are distributed around a single axis of rotation of the rotor in a generally circular configuration, said rotor being arranged to rotate around the single axis of rotation.

However, it fails to disclose:

Art Unit: 2834

a stator including a plurality of coil means positioned near the rotor along at least a portion of the periphery of the motor to cause rotation of the rotor by magnetic interaction therewith; and

energy controlling commutation means for controlling driving of the rotor by:

detecting a position of said slots in order to detect a position of said rotor relative to said coils, and

causing current to pass through said coil means based on the detected position of said slots, wherein said rotor is the part of the apparatus to be driven by the motor.

Dauwalter teaches the construction of magnetic actuator and position control system (10) for driving a part of an apparatus comprising a stator (28, 30) including a plurality of coil means (24, 34) positioned near the rotor along at least a portion of the periphery of the motor to cause rotation of the rotor by magnetic interaction therewith.

Rossi teach the construction of a tachometer for electric machines having energy controlling commutation means (column 8, line 62 to column 9, line 33) for:

detecting a position of said slots in order to detect a position of said rotor relative to said coils, and

causing current to pass through said coil means based on the detected position of said slots, wherein said rotor is the part of the apparatus to be driven by the motor.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the energy controlling commutation means disclosed by Rossi with the stator configuration of the magnetic actuator and position control system disclosed by Dauwalter on the rotor

Art Unit: 2834

disclosed by Terrone for the purpose of exciting specific coils at specific moments by electric circuits.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.
- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro J. Cuevas whose telephone number is (571) 272-2021. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2834

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Pedro J. Cuevas March 12, 2004

> KARL TAMAI PRIMARY EXAMINER